

**Interpretation of Table:**  
**JAIL POPULATIONS: 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr Calendar Year '06 versus 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr Calendar Year '07**

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 60 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 3,140) and "total decrease" in ADP (-736). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 3,140 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 736 ADP. Subtracting 736 from 3,140 produces the overall increase of 2,404 between the third quarter of calendar year 2006 and the third quarter of calendar year 2007.
2. The Los Angeles County ADP increase of 658 is 21.0% of the total increase of 3,140. Thirty-six jurisdictions had increases (down to Calaveras County Sheriff's Department) with one jurisdiction reporting no increase (Marin County Sheriff's Department). When you get to Calaveras County Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 3,140).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Sierra County Sheriff's Department to Santa Clara Probation Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 736 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department to Sacramento County Sheriff's Department) is 54.3%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 54% of the total ADP increase. Four jurisdictions (Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department, Sonoma County Sheriff's Department, Fresno County Sheriff's Department, and Santa Clara Probation Department) account for over 68% of the decreases. Note: Santa Clara Probation closed June 30, 2007.